



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

Freling E. Baker  
Suite 203  
12625 High Bluff Drive  
San Diego, CA 92130

**COPY MAILED**

**NOV 22 2005**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of	:	
Adam Zadok	:	
Application No. 10/033,288	:	ON PETITION
Filed: December 26, 2001	:	
Attorney Docket No. 0119-010	:	

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed September 14, 2005, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the non-final Office action mailed July 2, 2004, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. A three (3) month extension of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) was obtained. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned after midnight on January 2, 2005.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of an amendment; (2) the petition fee of \$750; and (3) an adequate statement of unintentional delay.

It is not apparent whether the statement of unintentional delay was signed by a person who would have been in a position of knowing that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. In accordance with 37 CFR 10.18, the statement is accepted as constituting a certification of unintentional delay. In the event that petitioner has no knowledge that the delay was unintentional, petitioner must make such an inquiry to ascertain that, in fact, the delay was unintentional. If petitioner discovers that the delay was intentional, petitioner must so notify the Office.

Since there is no indication that the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the above-identified application, in accordance with 37 CFR 1.34(a), the signature appearing on the petition shall constitute a representation to the United States Patent and Trademark Office that he/she is authorized to represent the particular party in whose behalf

he/she acts. However, if petitioner desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney or authorization of agent must be submitted. A courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to petitioner. Nevertheless, all future correspondence regarding this application will be directed solely to the address of record until otherwise instructed.

The application file is being referred to Technology Center Art Unit 3616.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3226.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'A. Smith', written over the printed name.

Andrea Smith  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions

cc: Gordon & Rees, LLP  
101 West Broadway  
Suite 1600  
San Diego, CA 92101